

Senate 9/25/07

- I. Call to order
- II. Roll Call
- III. Introduction to Senate
  - a. Games outside...
- IV. New Business
  - a. SFB Approval & Voting
    - i. At large: Rachael Gluzband
    - ii. Grad Trustee: Michael Schmidt
    - iii. Class of 2008: Amanda Weiss
    - iv. Class of 2009: Shane Magargal
    - v. Class of 2010: vacant
    - vi. Class of 2011: Chantelle Vienneau
  - b. Questions
    - i. CO to all present SFB trustees: are you planning on being on any RSO's?
      - 1. No to all except Shane (serving on Senate as well as the Presidents Cabinet).
    - ii. JW to all: if you had to choose between a permeate improvement or event which would you choose?
      - 1. All – improvements.
    - iii. CO to EK representing AW – if a motion came before SFB from MENC would she have to abstain
      - 1. EK - Yes
    - iv. EW – if an organization planned an event that could only benefit a portion of the campus how would you justify or negate that?
      - 1. EK – that can't happen because of the stipulations in the constitution
  - c. CO – Move to close discussion
    - i. CO – discussed Michael Schmidt's qualifications.
    - ii. CO – began discussion about SM's qualifications as SFB Trustee.
      - 1. DG – was not at several senate meetings and did not vote on some facilities management issues (of which he was the chair).
      - 2. AB – do we have to back these people?
        - a. CO – no, if we do not approve them it is the Treasures job to come forth with another option.
      - 3. DG – is there an issue with overextension?
      - 4. CO – move to table SM.
        - a. Passed unanimously.

- iii. CO – spoke very highly of Amanda.
    - d. Move to Voting
      - i. CO – DG -- Michael Schmidt: Passed unanimously.
      - ii. CO – DG -- Shane Magargal: Tabled for further discussion.
      - iii. CO – DG -- Amanda Weiss: Passed unanimously.
      - iv. DG – CO -- Chantelle Vienneau: Passed unanimously.
      - v. CO – DG -- Rachael Gluzband: Passed unanimously.
    - e. Read Results
    - f. EW opened a five minute window opened Shane questioned
      - i. DG – you are going to be on the president’s cabinet and SFB, do you wish to seek chair of the facilities?
        - 1. SM – no, other conflicts are: fall opera (does not necessarily conflict with Senate or SFB except for one or two dates).
      - ii. CO – went over Shane’s list of activities, do you think you are going to overextend yourself?
        - 1. SM - Do not see this being a problem this year.
      - iii. CO – if you found that you could not handle all of the positions which position would you resign first.
        - 1. Depends on the conflict, and that dictates which would be the most reasonable.
    - g. Move to Voting
      - i. DG – MG – Passed, CO abstained
- V. Goal Setting and Action Steps
- a. Explanation of the SGA
    - i. Purpose of the SGA is to represent the general student population. Executive Board has direct connections with the administration, Community Standards Board handles the judicial board, SFB governs the financial aspects.
    - ii. Reading of the preamble.
    - iii. DG asked if the SFB could comment on alcohol or drug policy.
      - 1. JW & KC – no because it is an administrative issue.
    - iv. CO – discussed how legislation is addressed.
    - v. JW – discussed quorum and how many senators we have now (17) and how many people we need for this years senate (26).
    - vi. CO – cross campus senate, there aren’t really any issues that span both campuses.
      - 1. SM – is it going to affect students next year with the new college and our students will be over there.
      - 2. CO – students that are based on this campus, probably have a student representative.
    - vii. AB – can anyone explain the name change?

1. KC – explained the plan for the new college.
  - viii. MF – how do we bring up agenda items?
    1. EW – email or talk to me first.
    2. JW – another purpose of ‘for the good of the order’.
  - ix. AB – how do you submit a resolution for consideration?
    1. EW – let me know 48 hours before a meeting.
    2. CO – there is a specific formula because of the specific format – see the bylaws.
  - x. Attendance
    1. Everyone is expected to attend.
      - a. If you cannot be there you can send a proxy.
    2. Any student can come to Senate, anyone from the outside community has to be approved by Ed.
    3. CB – if you miss do you have to bring in a proxy?
      - a. EW – no.
  - xi. Roberts Rules of order
    1. We employ a very loose version...
    2. If there is a problem voting, voice vote at first, division then roll call or secret ballot.
    3. SM – it might be good to go over the rules for the new senators.
  - xii. Constituents
    1. You will be receiving a list at the next Senate meeting.
    2. Expect a good deal of communication.
    3. Eventually the Senate will be broken up into committees, will be discussed at the next meeting.
    4. Move goal setting to next week – short term, mid term and long term goals.
- VI. For the Good of the Order
- a. CO
    - i. According to the constitution need to elect a speaker – I will not be present because of the run-out
  - b. JW
    - i. Speaker of the senate - the speaker is the highest member of the senate but does not have any additional power
    - ii. Andrew – similar to speaker of the house.
    - iii. JW – Ed chairs the meeting but the speaker is back-up.
  - c. CO
    - i. Speaker of the senate is not really important in the scheme of things; I was speaker of the Senate my first year. Qualifications – third year on Senate, I think I would do a good job helping the senate transition. Background – senior, voice performance major; bachelor’s degree in social

sciences, taught school, have been a public speaker for a number of the years; active in church, school and collegiate environments. Very organized but sometimes tend to overextend myself did not run for senate because I felt I could not handle it; changed mind and felt that I could take on both very effectively.

ii. Ed – allow 3 questions.

VII. DG – MF – Move to adjourn: 8:00pm.